



Sh. Sandeep Pathak Advocate, (9569700007)
Chamber No 806, Lawyers Chambers, 3rd Floor,
District Courts, Bathinda.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Supdt. Of Jail,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.593 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 21-03-2021
PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Absent
Respondent: Sh. Bhupinder Singh

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 18.05.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Sh. Bhupinder Singh assured the commission that he will provide the requisite information to the appellant within 15 days and that is free of cost.
3. Complainant is absent without any intimation.
4. It is pertinent to mention regarding the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) in which it has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. Also an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.
5. As the appellant has requested for the information, therefore, the titled case of the appellant is remanded to the First Appellate Authority O/o ADG Chandigarh and is directed to treat this application of the appellant as first appeal and decide the same within the period prescribed under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to pass an appropriate order after hearing both the parties and examining the record. A copy of RTI application is being sent to the First Appellate Authority along with this order.

Complaint Case No.593 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

6. With these directions the case is disposed of and closed. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file the second appeal in this case, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.12.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

CC: O/o ADG Chandigarh

– for compliance of the Order



Sh. Kulwant Singh, (6284568375)

S/o Sh. Shivcharan,
Village Dehar, P.O Kalanaur,
Distt. Gurdaspur-143512.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o District Treasury Officer,
Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.617 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 25-12-2020

PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Sh. Kulwant Singh

Respondent: Sh. Des Raj (Treasury Officer) 9855544293

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 18.05.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Sh. Des Raj stated that he already supplied the sought information to the appellant dated 28.01.2021. On this, complainant, Sh. Kulwant Singh stated that he has not received any correspondence from the respondent till date. Respondent, Sh. Des Raj then assured the commission that he will send the requisite information on the appellants whatsapp no. and through registered post again.
3. It is pertinent to mention regarding the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) in which it has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. Also an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.
4. As the appellant has requested for the information, therefore, the titled case of the appellant is remanded to the First Appellate Authority **O/o DC Gurdaspur** and is directed to treat this application of the appellant as first appeal and decide the same within the period prescribed under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to pass an appropriate order after hearing both the parties and examining the record. A copy of RTI application is being sent to the First Appellate Authority along with this order.

Complaint Case No.617 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. With these directions the case is disposed of and closed. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file the second appeal in this case, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.12.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Reg. Post CC: **O/o Deputy Commissioner**
Gurdaspur

– for compliance of the Order



Sh. Amarjit Singh, (9915247186)

S/o Sh. Balvir Singh,
R/o VPO. Karnana, Tehsil Nawanshahr,
Distt. S.B.S Nagar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.672 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 04-02-2021
PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Baljinder Singh (Head Constable) 7814584000

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 28.05.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Sh. Baljinder Singh stated that he already supplied the sought information **twice** to the appellant dated 04.03.2021 & 14.10.2021.
3. It is pertinent to mention regarding the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) in which it has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. Also an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.
4. As the appellant has requested for the information, therefore, the titled case of the appellant is remanded to the First Appellate Authority **O/o IGP, Border Range, Amritsar** and is directed to treat this application of the appellant as first appeal and decide the same within the period prescribed under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to pass an appropriate order after hearing both the parties and examining the record. A copy of RTI application is being sent to the First Appellate Authority along with this order.

Complaint Case No. 672 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. With these directions the case is disposed of and closed. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file the second appeal in this case, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.12.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Reg. Post CC: **O/o IGP, Border Range,**
Amritsar

– **for compliance of the Order**



Sh. Balvir Singh, (8558068654)

S/o Sh. Atma Ram,
VPO Mandoli, Tehsil Rajpura,
Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o District Treasury Officer,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.674 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 05-01-2021
PIO replied on : 28-01-2021

Present: None

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 28.05.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. It is pertinent to mention regarding the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) in which it has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. Also an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.
3. As the appellant has requested for the information, therefore, the titled case of the appellant is remanded to the First Appellate Authority **O/o DC Patiala** and is directed to treat this application of the appellant as first appeal and decide the same within the period prescribed under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to pass an appropriate order after hearing both the parties and examining the record. A copy of RTI application is being sent to the First Appellate Authority along with this order.

Complaint Case No.674 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

4. With these directions the case is disposed of and closed. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file the second appeal in this case, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.12.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Reg. Post CC: **O/o Deputy Commissioner**
Patiala

– for compliance of the Order



Sh. Ram Pal Kalia, (9876362507)

Village Murar, Hamira,
Distt. Kapurthala.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Chairman, Sri Sukhmani Dental College,
Dera Bassi.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.721 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 08-01-2021

PIO replied on : -

Present: None.

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 01.06.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. **Written Submission by Complainant:** An email dated 10.05.2021 is received by the undersigned bench therein the Sh. Ram Pal Kalia mentioned that he has withdrawn his case.
3. Accordingly, the case is closed.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.12.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Lovejeet Singh, (9888193877)

S/o Sh. Harbhajan Singh,
R/o Village Wadala Granthian, Tehsil Batala,
Distt. Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala,
Distt. Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.723 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 18-03-2021
PIO replied on : 28-03-2021

Present: Complainant: **Absent**

Respondent: ASI Balwinder Singh (9878243120)

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 08.06.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Respondent, ASI Balwinder Singh stated that he already supplied the sought information to the appellant dated 25.11.2021.
3. Complainant is absent without any intimation.
4. It is pertinent to mention regarding the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) in which it has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. Also an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.
5. As the appellant has requested for the information, therefore, the titled case of the appellant is remanded to the First Appellate Authority **O/o IGP, Border Range, Amritsar** and is directed to treat this application of the appellant as first appeal and decide the same within the period prescribed under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to pass an appropriate order after hearing both the parties and examining the record. A copy of RTI application is being sent to the First Appellate Authority along with this order.

Complaint Case No.723 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

6. With these directions the case is disposed of and closed. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file the second appeal in this case, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.12.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Reg. Post CC: **O/o IGP, Border Range,**
Amritsar

– **for compliance of the Order**



Sh. Jagpreet Singh, (9592481565)

S/o Sh. Balraj Singh,
R/o Village Burj Mehma,
Tehsil & Distt Bathinda.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Asstt. Registrar, Cooperative Societies,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.724 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 17-02-2021
PIO replied on : 24-02-2021

Present: Complainant: Sh. Jagpreet Singh
Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 08.06.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. It is pertinent to mention regarding the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) in which it has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. Also an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.
3. As the appellant has requested for the information, therefore, the titled case of the appellant is remanded to the First Appellate Authority and is directed to treat this application of the appellant as first appeal and decide the same within the period prescribed under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to pass an appropriate order after hearing both the parties and examining the record. A copy of RTI application is being sent to the First Appellate Authority along with this order.

Complaint Case No.674 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

4. With these directions the case is disposed of and closed. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file the second appeal in this case, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.12.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Reg. Post CC: O/o Co-operative Societies
 Bathinda.

– for compliance of the Order



Sh. Gurjeet Singh, (6284606667)

S/o Sh. Amarjeet Singh,
R/o Village Kili Nihal Singhwala,
Tehsil & Distt Bathinda.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Asstt. Registrar, Cooperative Societies,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.728 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 17-02-2021
PIO replied on : 24-02-2021

Present: Complainant: Sh. Gurjeet Singh
Respondent: Ms. Gurmeet Kaur (Inspector) 9780716423

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 08.06.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. It is pertinent to mention regarding the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) in which it has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. Also an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.
3. As the appellant has requested for the information, therefore, the titled case of the appellant is remanded to the First Appellate Authority and is directed to treat this application of the appellant as first appeal and decide the same within the period prescribed under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to pass an appropriate order after hearing both the parties and examining the record. A copy of RTI application is being sent to the First Appellate Authority along with this order.

Complaint Case No.728 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

4. With these directions the case is disposed of and closed. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file the second appeal in this case, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.12.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Reg. Post CC: O/o Co-operative Societies
 Bathinda.

– **for compliance of the Order**